

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,835	11/24/2003	Anthony Rose	2618-0502	3612
75948 DAVIDSON B	7590 07/01/200 SERQUIST JACKSON	EXAMINER		
ATTN: BRIAN	N SIRITZKY, Ph.D.	OBEID, MAMON A		
ARLINGTON.	I BLVD., 7TH FLOOR , VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,835	ROSE, ANTHONY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MAMON OBEID	3621	

	MAMON OBEID	3621						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.39(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee away been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, NOTICE OF APPEAL.								
	n compliance with 37 CFR 41 37 m	ust he filed within two	months of the					
2. \(\times \) The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 \(\times \) 2009. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	o mea mann are ame penea eerren	ur iir or or re + rior(u)						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or	and the second s	nated alabas						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od Con attacked Nation of Nam Co.		DTOL 204)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
7. Torrunovable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		. Do ontorou una un o	April and a second					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 38-46.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16 and 30-46</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>47</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
the remaing rejections are maintained.								
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:								
/ANDDEW I EISCHED!								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621

In regards to the 112-1st rejection: The Examiner agrees that the specification discloses: In one embodiment, the method comprises the steps of associating a first trusted entity with the first user, associating a second trusted entity with the second computer and "associating a second trusted entity with the first computer" and support in Applicants' original specification. If Applicant believes that the "first trusted entity" and the "second computer" lack support in Applicants' original specification. If Applicant believes that the "first trusted entity" and the "second trusted entity" maps to the "first computer" and the "second computer" respectively, The Examiner suggests ending the specification to clearly link the "first trusted entity" to the "first computer" and the "second trusted entity" to the "second computer" and thereby providing sufficient support and proper antecedent basis in the specification. No new matter should be added.